

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COJ United States Patent and Trademark Offi Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 27313-1450

exandria, Virginia 22313-1450 ww.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,074	06/22/2001	Johann Einhart	130109.402	6600
500	7590 10/14/2003		EXAM	INER
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
SUITE 6300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, W	'A 98104-7092		1746	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/888,074	EINHART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wills M Monique	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address V Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 J</u>	luna 2001					
_	is action is non-final.					
,		procedution as to the marite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

The second second

Application/Control Number: 09/888,074

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 are allowable over the prior art of record, because the prior art is silent to providing at least one complex groove in a first sealing surface of the first element in the electrochemical cell stack, wherein the groove has one raised portion and one depressed portion, depositing a bead of adhesive on the complex groove and abutting a second sealing surface of the second element of the stack against the first surface of the first element of the stack, such that the sealing surface displaces at least a portion of the bead adhesive from the raised portion to the depressed portion.

The prior art, such as Mizuno teaches a sealing gasket adhesive comprising a raised portion and a depressed portion. In other words, the sealing adhesive itself has a groove with a depressed portion and raised portion, which is patentably distinct from a cell element with depressed and raised groove portions that receive the adhesive.

Therefore, the instant claims are patentably distinct from Mizuno.

Claims 9-33 are allowable over the prior art of record, because the prior art is silent to a first and second body circumscribing a membrane electrode assembly, wherein said second body being configured to direct fuel to an electrode comprises a groove with deep portion and shallow portion configured to displace an adhesive bead.

The prior art, such as Mizuno teaches a sealing gasket adhesive comprising a raised portion and a depressed portion. In other words, the sealing adhesive itself has a groove with a depressed portion and raised portion, which is patentably distinct from

Art Unit: 1746

a first body circumscribing the depressed and raised groove portions that receive the adhesive. Therefore, the instant claims are patentably distinct from Mizuno.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "the third portion being located opposite the first portion from the second portion" is of uncertain meaning rendering the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to where the third portion is located, i.e. which portion the third portion is opposite of.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 09/888,074

Art Unit: 1746

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0064703.

Mizuno teaches a sealing gasket adhesive comprising a sealing groove with a complex cross-section shape, with a first raised portion and a second depressed portion (Fig. 7-9). Regarding displacement of the adhesive, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, because the first portion of the sealing groove is sized and shaped, such that it is capable to receive and retain a volume of adhesive prior to assembly of the cell, and the second portion of the sealing groove is also capable of receiving a portion of the volume of adhesive that is displaced from the first portion, the limitations are met. Therefore, the instant claims are anticipated by Mizuno.

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (703) 305-0073. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, may be reached at 703-308-4333.

The unofficial fax number is (703) 305-3599. The Official fax number for non-final amendments is 703-872-9310. The Official fax number for after final amendments is 703-872-9311.

Mw

09/27/03

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700